

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/960,418	LINCKE, SHANE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ajay M. Bhatia	2145	

All Participants:

(1) Ajay M. Bhatia.

(2) Elis Gold.

Status of Application: abandoned

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 June 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner contacted applicant's representative to inquire about the status of the case since an appropriate reply had not been received for office action mailed 10/17/05. Applicant's representative informed examiner that the case was abandoned.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


JASON CARDONE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)